

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,157	12/30/2003	Gurjeet K. Jaggi	03855 (3883.00030)	7847
35374	7590 01/04/2006		EXAMINER	
LEAR CORPORATION, BLISS MCGLYNN, P.C. 2075 WEST BIG BEAVER ROAD			ORTIZ, ANGELA Y	
SUITE 600	DIG DEAVER ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROY, MI	48084		1732	
			DATE MAII ED: 01/04/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			K			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>			
	10/749,157	JAGGI, GURJEET K.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Angela Ortiz	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	3 November 2005.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1.2 and 4-8</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is		objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		iummary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0</li> </ul>	<del></del>	s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/749,157

Art Unit: 1732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the use of "predetermined pressure" is not understood; for purposes of the rejection below, this phrase has been interpreted to mean *injection pressure*.

Applicant must clarify the record in any subsequent communication in response to this rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brodi, Jr. et al., USP 6,838,027 in view of Dooley et al., US 2004/0229013 A1 or Rao et al., USP 5,414,037.

The cited primary reference substantially teaches the basic claimed method of forming a trim panel having a class-A surface, the method comprising the steps of proving a mold having upper and lower mold halves 32,34 wherein a blade 42,48 is

Art Unit: 1732

provided to divide one molding surface into a plurality of recesses. A trim component 26 is provided between the mold halves 32,34 and the mold halves 32,34 are closed. A resin is injected into the cavity and forms a class-A surface that is visible from the interior. See col. 3, lines 10-15, 35-60; col. 4, lines 1-15, 20-25.

The cited primary reference does not teach that the injected material has a predetermined pressure less than the maximum clamp pressure, per se. Note that injected material is deemed at a lower pressure than the mold clamp pressure, as the mold must be clamped at a pressure greater than the injection pressure to perform molding in a closed mold and prevent the mold from opening during the injection process. Nonetheless, such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to so include for ensuring a closed mold for forming a high quality molded panel.

Both cited secondary references teach a similar method of producing trim panels with class-A surfaces using injection molding methods which teach injecting at a pressure less than the clamp pressure as conventional. Dooley et al. produces a soft touch trim component with a class-A surface wherein soft foam is injected into the molding cavity such that the foam has a predetermined pressure less than the maximum clamp pressure to eliminate a visible outline, see paragraph [0034]. Rao et al. produces a vehicle body panel having a class-A surface wherein a resin is injection molded within a mold cavity at a pressure less than the clamp pressure, see example 1 at col. 3, lines 40-65, for producing a product with a class-A surface right out of the mold.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,157

Art Unit: 1732

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to so inject at a pressure lower than the clamp pressure as shown in either added reference, when performing the process set forth in the primary reference, for eliminating a visible outlines or for producing a class-A surface right out of the mold.

With respect to claim 2, note that it is inherent that the material is finally cured to form the claimed molded substrate, and then removed from the mold, see col. 4, lines 5-10 of USP 6,838,027; nonetheless, such would have been obvious for producing a finally formed composite panel.

With respect to claims 4-5, see USP 6,838,027 at figure 7 and col. 3, lines 1-7, col. 4, lines 1-25.

With respect to claims 6-8, note the use of blade 48 to minimize appearance of bonding and see col. 3, lines 60-68 of USP 6,838,027.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Note that the newly applied rejection is responsive to the newly added claim limitations.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,157 Page 5

**Art Unit: 1732** 

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 2004/0084795 A1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-1206. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela Ortiz
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732